

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/810,800	03/15/2001	Mark Hamilton Jones	5450 PA02	6814
7.	590 04/10/2002			
Thomas J. Tighe, Esq.			EXAMINER	
6265 Greenwic San Diego, CA	h Drive, Suite 103 92122		MENDIRATTA, VISHU K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711	
·			DATE MAILED: 04/10/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
09/810,800		JONES, MARK HAMILTON	
Examiner		Art Unit	
Vishu K Mendiratta		3711	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status	
--------	--

Status	o patent term adjactment. Good or or term only.					
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 January 2002.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This acti	on is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	Disposition of Claims					
. 4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from	m consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application	on Papers					
·	he specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or	·				
🗂 –	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawi					
11)∐ Т	he proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)					
. e. 🗀 =	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to the					
•	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) 🗌	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/810,800

Art Unit: 3711

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1,2,4,7 and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Powell.

Powell teaches a table having numbered indicia (Col.5, lines 20-23) for placing bets, a number selector (38), a display associated with the table (50) viewable by all players, the number selector being agitated balls to singulate a ball (50,54,58, 60), a numerical processor (72) controlling a screen (76).

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Perrie.

Perrie teaches a table with number indicia (50), a number selector display (10).

Application/Control Number: 09/810,800 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Powell.

Powell teaches all limitations of these claims except that it does not teach 38 balls numbering 1-38. Powell does teach numbering of balls (col.5, lines 20-23). In order to match the roulette theme, it would have been obvious to numbering balls 1-38. One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have numbered balls according to the theme of the game.

6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Powell in view of Kuhlman.

Powell teaches all limitations of this claim except that it does not teach a camera arrangement for such purposes. Kuhlman teaches having a camera (22), a display for displaying selected number (25). Examiner views such limitations to be commonly known in the art area. In order to demonstrate the selected number, it would have been obvious to install a camera as commonly known in the art area. One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have installed camera.

7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Powell in view of Orselli.

Application/Control Number: 09/810,800

Art Unit: 3711

Powell teaches all limitations of this claim except that it does not teach table having numbered indicia from 1-38. Orselli teaches a roulette table with similar indicia. In order for players to readily select numbers it would have been obvious to provide numbers on the table. One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have provided numbered indicia on the table. Applicant might argue that Orselli does not show indicia numbers 37,38. In view of examiner this is a choice of preference of the house.

8. Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Powell in view of Levy.

Powell teaches all limitations except that it does not teach remote activation of game.

Levy teaches a remote activation of the game (col.5, lines 10-30). In order to play the game on communication network, it would have been obvious to use remote technology. One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have used remote technology to play the game.

9. Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Powell in view of Huard.

Powell teaches all limitations except that it does not teach using cards for random selection. Huard teaches cards (col.6, lines 31-35) for random selection. In order to make the game interesting, it would have been obvious to use cards as random selection device. One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have used a set of cards. Cards however are commonly known in art area as means of chance selection.

Application/Control Number: 09/810,800

Art Unit: 3711

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Treavis, Herzenberger, Carroll, Seelig all teach similar

limitations..

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Vishu K Mendiratta whose telephone number is (703)

306-5695. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Paul Sewell can be reached on (703) 308-2126. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302

for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1148.

Vishu K Mendiratta

Page 5

Examiner

Art Unit 3711

VKM

April 4, 2002

Benjamin H. Layno

Primary Examiner